

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,172	11/12/2003	Jose Castejon-Amenedo	200311057-1	6119
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 11/27/2007 ACKARD COMPANY		EXAM	IINER
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			NGO, CHUONG D	
	11/12/2003 Jose Castejon-Amenedo 200311057-1 7590 11/27/2007 T PACKARD COMPANY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	. ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/706,172	CASTEJON-AMENEDO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
:		Chuong D. Ngo	2193
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication application	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address
WHICH - Extens after SI - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 1 3)□ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Se</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan Blosed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal ma	
Dispositio	n of Claims		
4, 5) □ (0 6) ⊠ (0 7) □ (0 8) □ (0 Applicatio 9) □ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.	
ρ F	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accesspoints accesspoint accesspoint accesspoint accesspoints accesspoint accesspoints accesspoints accesspoints access	Irawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
2)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/706,172

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-30 are directed to computer related inventions for merely performing manipulation and calculation data values to obtain result values. In order for a claimed invention that is directed to such a computer related invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must accomplish a practical application. That is the claimed invention must transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing, or produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Also see "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", OG Notices: 22 November 2005. It is clear from claims 1-30 that the claims merely involves calculations and manipulations of data. The claimed inventions do not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The result produced by the inventions do not have a real world value but merely numerical values without a practical application recited in the claims to make the results useful, concrete and tangible. Therefore, the inventions of claims 1-30 are directed to nonstatutory subject matter as the claimed inventions fail to accomplish a practical application. Further, because the recitation "the bit stream comprising a random number for use in a consuming process" is only to recite a use of a random number. It does not limit the invention in

Application/Control Number: 10/706,172

Art Unit: 2193

a consuming process. Therefore, the claims still appears to cover every substantial practical application, and thus are directed to a preemption of the claimed data manipulation and calculation.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 26-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al. (6,728,740).

Kelly et al. discloses in figure 1 a random bit stream generation including accumulating (21) a plurality of hardware (16) driven numbers including timestamp values (see col. 5, lines 3-6), extracting (the extraction of the numbers from latch 21 to seed reg. 14) a portion (entire portion) of each hardware driven number, and combining (14) each extracted portion to form a random bit stream as claimed. It is noted that Kelly et al. does not specifically disclose the use of the random number in a consuming process. However, it would have been an obvious application and/or field of use to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply teaching of generation of random bit stream of Kelly et al. in a consuming process as claimed.

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,172

Art Unit: 2193

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101, it is respectfully submitted that the recitation "the bit stream comprising a random number for use in a consuming process" fails to render the invention statutory because it does not constitute a practical application for the invention in a consuming process. Further, the recitation does not prevent the claims from covering every substantial practical application. Thus, the claimed invention is still directed to a preemption of the claimed data manipulation and calculation. The examiner suggests to change this recitation to -- wherein the bit stream is used for encrypting data in a consuming process -- to overcome the rejection.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), it is respectfully submitted that since claims 26-30 do not recite "the portion less than the whole of each hardware driven number" as that recited in claim 1, the phrase "a portion" also over a whole or entire portion. The examiner suggest to add the limitation "the portion less than the whole of each hardware driven number" as that in claim 1 to overcome the rejection.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2193

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chuong D Ngo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193